

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/067,262	SUMINO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David M. Naff	1651	

All Participants:

(1) David M. Naff.

(2) Julie M. Seaman.

Date of Interview: 3 March 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
5 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative authorized amendments suggested by the examiner to claims 5 and 11 to put the claims in better form. See examiner's amendment.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)